REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The office action mailed on October 14, 2010, has been reviewed and carefully considered. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Amendments to the Claims

Claims 59-90 are now pending in the present application; among them, claims 59 and 77 are independent claims. Claims 1-58 have been canceled without prejudice.

New claims 59-90 have been added. No new matter has been added.

Double Patenting

In the office action (page 3), claims 1-58 stand provisionally rejected on the ground of non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 45-105 of copending Application No. 10/580.290.

Claims 1-58 have been canceled and therefore the basis for this provisional rejection has been removed. Accordingly, the examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw this provisional rejection.

Claim Objections

In the office action (page 3), claims 5, 7, 11, 16, 17, 24, 25 and 27 stand objected to because of informalities.

Claims 1-58 have been canceled and therefore the basis for this objection has been removed. Accordingly, the examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw this objection.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §112

In the office action (page 5), claims 4, 19, 21, 30, 33, 41, 44 and 58 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, ¶2 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the invention.

Claims 1-58 have been canceled and therefore the basis for this objection has been removed. Accordingly, the examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw this rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §101

In the office action (page 6), claims 1-40 and 51-58 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject

PATENT Docket: CU-4835

matter.

Claims 1-58 have been canceled and therefore the basis for this objection has been removed. Accordingly, the examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw this rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §102

In the office action (page 7), claims 1-58 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) as being anticipated by Requirements for Event Reporting (ISO N6041) and further incorporating Current Vision Event Reporting in MPEG 21 (ISO N5871).

Claims 1-58 have been canceled and therefore the basis for this objection has been removed. Accordingly, the examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw this rejection.

Conclusion

For the reasons set forth above, the applicant respectfully submits that claims 59-90, now pending in this application, are in condition for allowance over the cited references. Accordingly, the applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding rejections and earnestly solicits an indication of allowable subject matter.

This amendment is considered to be responsive to all points raised in the office action. Should the examiner have any remaining questions or concerns, the examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned attorney by telephone to expeditiously resolve those concerns.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 14, 2011

Loren K. Thompson, Ph. D., Reg. No. 45,918

Ladas & Parry LLP 224 South Michigan Avenue

Suite 1600

Chicago, Illinois 60604

(312) 427-1300